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Further experience gained in the treatment of leprosy with
Diamino-diphenyl sulphoxide (DDSO) in the Research Unit at
Uzuakoli and in several neighbouring leprosy settlements, subse-
quent to the progress report by Davey et al. (1957), has substantiated
some of the earlier findings and has amplified the tentative con-
clusions reached concerning the toxic qualities of the product.

Cognizant of the warning contained in the above report that
certain observed abnormalities might prove serious at higher dose
levels, and having been informed that the drug was potentially toxic,
the Tokyo Congress (1958) passed a Technical Resolution to the
effect that further studies of the drug, especially its toxicity and its
use in the later stages of treatment, should be undertaken.

This definitive report on expanded trials with DDSO embodies
the results of further study and attempts to answer outstanding
questions regarding toxicity and therapeutic value.

It is recalled that DDSO was recognized as a bactericidal agent
principally through the investigations of Fourneau et al. (1937)
Biotte et al. (1938), and that Buu-Hoi et al. (1955) first used the
drug in the treatment of leprosy. In addition to Davey et al. (1957)
in Eastern Nigeria, Laviron et al. (1957) and others have employed
the drug elsewhere.

On the analogy of the good results obtained with Dapsone in
the treatment of dermatitis herpetiformis, DDSO has been given
for this condition (Alexandre, 1958).

Choice of patients

The patients who took part in this expanded trial represented a
typical cross-section of leprosy as it occurs among patients seeking
admission into settlements in Southern Nigeria. They were un-
selected as regards age, sex and duration of the disease. Most of
them had received no previous treatment.

The following settlements co-operated with Uzuakoli: Oji River,
Iseba, Iru, Uburu, Abakaliki and Osiomu.
Some of the patients originally classified as “lepromatous” or “tuberculoid” subsequently developed borderline features.

**Dosage of the drug**

For the purposes of the pilot trial, it was inferred that since the molecular structure of DDSO resembled that of Dapsone, dosage scales should be similar to those employed with Dapsone. The scales then adopted were continued throughout the present trial.

Adult patients received the drug in the following doses during a cautious build-up (which has been held to minimize the risk of toxic complications, especially dermatitis): 30 patients received 100 mgm. twice weekly for 3 weeks; 200 mgm. twice weekly for 3 weeks; and 300 mgm. twice weekly thereafter; 37 patients received 100 mgm. daily for six days a week; 7 children received 50 mgm. daily, and 8 adolescents received 200 mgm. twice weekly, as definitive doses.

The average weight of the adults was 124 lbs. (56.4 kg.), and the average age was 33 years.

**Controls**

For the first 24 months of the trial at Uzuakoli, patients under treatment with DDSO were paired with patients undergoing standard Dapsone treatment. Once the absolute efficacy of the drug had been established, precise comparative studies with paired patients were discontinued.

It was at first considered that the therapeutic action of DDSO was somewhat different from that of Dapsone in the following respects: with similar doses the clinical and bacteriological improvement was greater; smaller doses were effective; the toxic signs followed a different pattern, anaemia being less marked and liver damage occurring relatively late; DDSO was thought not to precipitate neuritis and lepra reaction as frequently as did Dapsone.

Further experience has not substantiated the earlier impressions, except as regards toxicity. Specifically, a pattern of kidney damage became apparent to which detailed reference will later be made.
Duration of treatment

Treatment was continued with DDSO for an average of 36 months for bacteriologically positive patients and for 30 months for the bacteriologically negative.

Progress under therapy: Clinical

As with other treatments for leprosy, clinical progress has not been uninterupted in all cases. Several patients admitted to treatment in an active or progressive phase of the disease showed some exacerbations before steady improvement became established.

The results of treatment in this expanded trial do not differ materially from those obtained in patients receiving Dapsone in standard doses. Taking all clinical criteria into consideration, the following assessment of progress was made in those who continued treatment with the drug till the end of the trial.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>Cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair or Slight</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the remaining 17 patients, treatment was discontinued for the following reasons:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Haematuria</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dermatitis</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe herpes reaction</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychosis (including one patient with hepatitis)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death (from Yellow Fever)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Of the 70 Uzuakoli patients, 27 who had received no drug but DDSO were discharged symptom-free, and 16 out of 33 bacteriologically negative patients were considered to require further treatment after having received the drug for 30 months. Subsequent progress has been without incident in these patients, and similar to that expected if Dapsone had been the drug originally employed.

Bacteriological

Most bacteriologically positive patients had smears examined at eight sites at monthly intervals. The collated results are expressed in the accompanying figure.

The rate of morphological degeneration of the M. leprae in the routine smears resembled the pattern habitually observed in patients under Dapsone therapy.
After making progress similar to that of other patients with disease of comparable severity, 4 patients suddenly showed an increase in the Bacteriological Index, with reappearance of M.leprae of normal morphology in the routine smears. Normal bacilli were first seen in the nasal mucosa in one patient, in the cutaneous lesions in another, and in both skin and ear lobes in the remaining two patients. The raised Index persisted for a variable period before returning to the pre-existing level and then resuming its downward trend; normal bacilli usually disappeared as the Index fell. The sustained nature of the elevation would suggest that the findings were not fortuitously dependent on the hazards of smearing but may be explicable on other grounds.

The increase occurred in all four patients in the rainy season; all had lepra reaction with erythema nodosum at the time; one of them was suffering from severe anaemia which had necessitated reduction in the dose of drug.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total No.</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>B.I. on admission</th>
<th>Months of treatment before rise in B.I.</th>
<th>B.I. in specimen collected before rise</th>
<th>B.I. in specimen collected after rise</th>
<th>Duration of level of B.I. before dose reduction/return to pre-existing level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9750</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>34 months</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>16 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9748</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>11 months</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9789</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>27 months</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2074</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>26 months</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Relapses

Of the total of 47 patients who have been discharged from Uzuakoli with the disease clinically arrested (27 having received DDSO only), 15 have attended subsequently for examination, most of them more than once. Of these, 3 patients have relapsed, 2, 10 and 12 months respectively after discharge; there are almost certainly no relapses apart from these three. In two of the three, *M. leprae* were found in the smears: one of them had been bacteriologically negative for 14 months before discharge, and the other had never been positive. Bacilli were present in the ear-lobes of both patients, and also in the skin lesion in one, and in the nasal mucosa in the other.

All three relapses were in patients whose lesions have subsequently shown borderline features, indicating immunological instability. The duration of treatment with DDSO (none having received any other treatment) had been 25, 26 and 38 months. In retrospect, it is evident that treatment of these patients should have continued for longer.

Toxicity

Since DDSO possesses no obvious advantage over Dapsone in the treatment of leprosy, and since it is, moreover, at least twice as expensive to manufacture, its sphere of use will be determined by its toxicity and the incidence of undesirable side-effects.

Anaemia

In addition to the signs of slight initial reduction in haemoglobin levels already reported, two patients under therapy experienced more severe anaemia.

Dermatitis

Several types of cutaneous reaction to DDSO were noted: in four patients they were sufficiently severe to warrant suppression of the drug:

1. A papular irritating dermatitis in two patients. In one, the dermatitis recurred with every administration of the drug; a slow desensitization with aqueous Solapsone was successful, and treatment was eventually resumed.
2. A diffuse melanosis, facial in one patient, and generalized on the trunk in two others;
3. A discrete hyperpigmentary macular rash, in one patient, similar to the cases reported by Browne (1959, 1960) with Dapsone and Solapsone;
Marked hyperemia of lepromatous inflammation was seen on the face, particularly the forehead and cheeks, in two patients.

Three patients showed paralysing sensitivity to DDSO and to Dapsone; one of them was also sensitive to Ditiphal ("Etisul"), and another to both Ditiphal and the barbiturates. On the other hand, one patient who was sensitive to Dapsone, received DDSO for 20 months before developing dermatitis.

**Lepra reaction**

Fourteen of the 64 lepromatous patients under treatment developed lepra reactions with erythema nodosum, of varying severity.

**Iritis**

Two patients had iritis.

**Neuritis**

Twenty-four patients developed neuritis of one or more main superficial nerve trunks during the trial.

**Psychosis**

Three patients, with no obvious history of mental instability, developed psychotic symptoms. In two, although clinical progress had been satisfactory in other respects, treatment with the drug had to be abandoned.

**Hepatitis**

Two patients developed clinical signs of liver damage, after two years of treatment.

Seligman's test (for urobilin) was performed every month on all Uzuakoli patients. From the 16th to the 25th months inclusive, this delicate test was positive on 47 occasions, and in 6 patients definitely abnormal findings were reported on 10 occasions between the 20th and 26th months: in 2 of these the liver was slightly enlarged and tender.

One patient died of a brief illness characterized by pyrexia, jaundice and coma, with massive albuminuria. The liver showed the typical histological picture of Yellow Fever.

**Kidney damage**

The toxic action of DDSO on the kidneys, already suspected, has been confirmed.

In the majority of the 70 Uzuakoli patients concerning whom detailed laboratory data were available, albuminuria was discovered.
on at least one occasion during the course of treatment. In the last 12 months of the trial a "trace" specimens of urine obtained from at least one-half of the specimens. In about one-half of the specimens, albumin may have arisen in tissues other than glomeruli or tubules, for, in addition to epithelial cells and leucocytes, these specimens contained one of the following organisms: gonococci, urinomycetes, oxalate or triple phosphate crystals, Trichomonas vaginalis. No schistosome ova were found, and no crystals other than those mentioned.

A total of 36 patients had albuminuria, probably of renal origin, at some period during the trial. In 28, a "faint trace" was recorded at least once, but in cases of these erythrocytes were present in the deposit after centrifugation, and in two, casts were found. All seven patients whose urine contained "1 plus" of albumin at least once, passed erythrocytes in the specimen that contained albumin, and two had casts in addition. In the single specimen in which the amount of albumin was returned as "2 plus", erythrocytes and casts were also present.

The erythrocytes were generally scanty, under 3 per field (of the 40× objective) of the deposit after centrifugation; they occurred mainly from the 11th to the 13th months of treatment, and from the 22nd to the 24th, but few months were entirely exempt.

In the course of the trial DDSO was discontinued in eight patients because of persistent microscopic haematuria. After improvement in the urinary condition, another anti-leprosy treatment was instituted.

When the nephrotoxic action of the drug was beyond doubt, the trial was concluded. Thereafter slight albuminuria persisted in 12 patients intermittently for some months (11 to 19); in two patients, microscopic haematuria persisted for 2 and 3 months respectively, and casts were found in one of the latter up till 2 months.

The presence of erythrocytes in the urine was not related to the reaction of the urine; nor to pyuria—infestation with resistant organisms can thus be excluded. It could not be correlated with the dose/body-weight ratio, or with the frequency of administration of the drug.

Dysuria and strangury were absent, except in one patient, who complained of these symptoms after the drug had been suppressed. The blood urea was estimated in 25 patients, in 18 of them twice. In all cases, it was within normal limits. In nine patients, the second examination gave slightly lower readings than the first performed six months previously.

It is inferred from these findings that the unchanged drug or certain of its metabolites have a nephrotoxic action, slight or moderately severe, and usually transient, in a regretfully high pro-
portion of patients receiving standard doses of DDSO for the pro-
longed periods necessary for the adequate treatment of leprosy.

Earlier reports concerning kidney damage with the drug have
been made. Kimmy (1948) declared that its potential toxicity for
the kidney rendered its use inadvisable in human disease; but
Thiele et al. (1958), and Laviron et al. (1957) did not consider it
more toxic than Dapsone.

Alexander (1958) using DDSO for dermatitis herpetiformis, in
relatively high doses up to 250 mgm. daily, mainly in elderly patients,
reported a high incidence of urinary complications after a short
period of treatment. Haematuria was noted in 3 patients out of 11,
frequency of micturition in 7, dysuria in 4. The urine contained
Esch. coli in 2 patients and Staph. aureus in one.

It is known that DDSO is partly converted into Dapsone and
excreted as such (Levi & Snow, 1960), but it is unlikely that this
ministry could account for the toxic effects noted in such a high
proportion of patients, since it is distinctly uncommon for Dapsone
in standard doses to cause haematuria in leprosy patients on pro-
longed treatment. Combès and Ritches (1957) reported 3 patients
who developed "cystitis" under Dapsone therapy, and Verma (1958)
reported one. Van Ketel (1960) recorded three urinary tract infections
among 13 patients treated with Dapsone (cf. Alexander's cases,
referred to above, of the same disease treated with DDSO); but
Kreutlich and Tomashov (1960) specifically mention the absence of
urinary tract infections in 54 patients treated with Dapsone for
psoriasis and other dermatoses.

Conclusions
1. Diamino-diphenyl sulphone has a definite anti-leprosy
action in doses of the order of 300 mgm. twice weekly or 100 mgm.
daily by the mouth.
2. Clinical improvement is obtained in all varieties of leprosy.
3. Reduction in the Bacteriological Index and disappearance
of morphologically normal M. leprae generally follow a consis-
tent pattern.
4. The clinical and bacteriological amelioration is similar in all
respects to that with Dapsone.
5. The incidence of such side-effects as anaemia, psychosis,
lepra reaction and erythema nodosum and hepatitis appears to be
similar to that in comparable groups of patients undergoing Dapsone
therapy.
6. The incidence of dermatitis is higher with DDSO than with
Dapsone.
7. In a high proportion of patients who receive standard doses
of the drug over prolonged periods, DDSO has a direct nephrotoxic
action shown by the occurrence of albuminuria, and haematuria, and the passage of casts.

8. Notwithstanding its therapeutic efficacy in the treatment of all types of leprosy, the nephrotic action of the drug renders its further use inadvisable.
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