LEPROSY IN ANTIGUA

In most of these the disease was found to have become arrested, but in one or two it was still active though they were closed cases.

Searching the records of the St. Kitts' Leper Home, it was found that 11 cases had been admitted from Nevis between 1922 and 1932, but only 3 cases in the last decade. One infectious case had been admitted to the Nevis Hospital in a dying condition during the last month, but enquiry showed that he had lived in effective isolation for many years back. Only during the last three months had he been attended during the illness from which he died by a neighbouring woman.

I examined two schools situated in the area from which most of the patients had been admitted to the Leper Home, but found no definite signs of leprosy in any of the children. It would appear therefore that:

1. Leprosy is not at all common in the island of Nevis.
2. That as compared with 20 years ago it has very much diminished.
3. That the present known unsegregated cases are either arrested or non-infectious.

A careful look-out should be kept, however, for further cases by the Doctors and Sanitary Inspectors. The degree of malnutrition and insanitation existing in the island leads one to think that unisolated foci of the disease might lead to its spread.
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REPORT ON LEPROSY IN ANTIGUA

E. Muir

On behalf of the British Empire Leprosy Relief Association, I paid a visit to Antigua to study leprosy in the island and advise as to measures for its control. I arrived on the 28th of January and left on the 3rd of February, 1942.
According to a programme drawn up by Dr. John Wright, the Senior Medical Officer, I visited the Leper Home in company with Dr. Stevens, the visiting physician of the Home, gave a couple of talks and demonstrations of leprosy to the doctors, examined a number of leprosy patients who are not interned in the Leper Home and their contacts, and addressed a public meeting.

LEPER HOME.

This institution, which was formerly on Rat Island in St. John's Harbour, is now at Pears, on the west coast, to the S.W. of St. John's, from which it is about six miles distant by road.

ACCOMMODATION.

This consists of a number of two-roomed buildings each with a gallery. Each patient has a separate room. The walls and roofs are built of asbestos sheeting, the latter being lined with wood. The patients complain that they are too cold in cold weather and too hot in hot weather. Two new buildings have been added recently, each consisting of six rooms, of which the three in front back on the three behind. This seems to be a bad arrangement, as the middle room on each side has no through ventilation.

There is a kitchen, where the food is prepared by two female cooks and a kitchen maid. Meals are served either in the dining shed or in the patients' own rooms. The diet seems to be fairly good, especially as fresh vegetables are obtained from the patients' gardens and as fresh fish is frequently given.

PATIENTS.

I examined the 21 male and 17 female patients and classified them roughly as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N-1 with minor tuberculoid lesions</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-3 with simple lesions</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-2, N-4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease arrested</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not leprosy</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[* "N" represents neural and "L" represents lepromatous. The figures indicate the degree of the disease.*]

The majority, 20 of the whole, are non-infectious cases, but a number of these are hopelessly crippled, some of them being permanently confined to their rooms.
OCCUPATION.

One of the most important parts of the treatment of leprosy is exercise and mental and physical activity. Some of the patients cultivate their own gardens and sell the produce to the Home. In this way they each earn an average of about 25 cents a day. Also, 10 men and 8 women are able to earn about $1.25 a month on an average by sweeping, scavenging, washing and mending clothes, etc. But these activities are small compared with the capacity of the patients for work.

Many of the buildings, roads, drains and other structures in and around the Home are in need of repair, reconstruction or extension, and I understand that these works have often been held up for want of labour.

On enquiry I found that there are among the patients a builder and two carpenters, and that they would be glad to undertake such work: also six to ten other able-bodied patients would be willing to assist. Such an arrangement would allow for improvement of the institution at a much cheaper rate than could be done by outside labour, and, still more important, it would be very beneficial to the patients by removing the mental and physical stagnation in which they spend much of their time.

In the leprosaria in Trinidad, British Guiana and most of the larger leprosy institutions such work is done by the patients with great benefit. At the same time it would be well if a social worker of the right type could be appointed, part of whose duties would be to organise the work and social life of the patients. I refer again to this later.

THE MEDICAL SIDE.

At present this is attended to by a physician who visits once a week. Dressings and general care of the patients are looked after by three untrained women who act as nurses. The Superintendent formerly belonged to the Government Printing Department, but has, I understand, no training in tending the sick.

The visits of the physician are hampered by the bad condition of the branch road joining up the main road with the Home. This might be remedied at small cost by employing patient labour in repairs. Unlike the custom in the other Government Leper Homes I have visited, the physician receives no extra remuneration for his services.

I think it important that the physician in charge should have an opportunity of studying leprosy for two months in a suitable centre such as that in Trinidad.
In place of one of the female nurses a better educated and trained male nurse might be appointed, who would also organise social and other activities of the patients. Such a man would necessarily be carefully selected, an important qualification being that he was interested in the patients and their welfare. He might be given special training in dispensing, dressing, etc., at the hospital, and might with advantage be sent for a period of training to one of the larger leprosaria.

It is important that all patients should be examined and treated for complicating diseases, the presence of which often prevents improvement under special anti-leprosy treatment.

If these improvements and those mentioned under "Occupation" were carried out, the Leper Home would become a much more attractive place, and patients would be more likely to seek voluntary admission.

LEPROSY CONTROL.

Segregation and Domiciliary Isolation.

Under the Leper Act, as amended in 1937, provision is made for compulsory segregation in the Leper Home of those duly certified as suffering from leprosy. An exception, however, may be made "if the person suffering from leprosy is, in the opinion of the Governor, able to provide for himself outside a Leper Home, effective isolation in accordance with rules made under the Act and subject to security being given by bond" in a sum of £50.

In Antigua apparently great use has been made of this provision, and I am informed that a large number of lepers have been allowed to remain at their own residences.

There are two objects for which a leper is sent to a Leper Home: the public object of preventing him from spreading infection, and the personal one of securing for him proper treatment, care and nourishment.

In the Leper Act there is no recognition of the important difference between "open" or infectious cases, and "closed" or non-infectious cases. As the latter do not spread infection, they are sent to the Leper Home for their personal care alone. It is noted above that 20 out of the 38 cases at present in the Home come under this category. Of these, the patients able to provide for their own care and treatment at home might safely be discharged.

The provision which allows for domiciliary isolation of cases at their own homes, whether they are "open" or not, requires very careful consideration and revision. A distinction should be made between the two categories.
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The "closed" cases (that is to say, those giving negative findings on repeated examinations by the routine methods of skin and nasal mucous membrane) will not spread infection, and need not be compulsorily segregated. They should, however, be kept under suitable inspection in case they should become infectious in future.

"Open" cases, however, are in a very different position. The Leper Act of this Colony is based upon that of British Guiana, where there is a whole-time leprosy expert who is not only the Medical Superintendent of the Leper Home, but has for many years been in touch with all the cases under treatment outside. Moreover, in the British Guiana leprosy institution special provision is made for suitably housing better-class patients who are also attracted by the experience of others over many years that hope of recovery is much greater inside than outside the institution.

Unfortunately this cannot be said of Antigua, chiefly on account of the fact that the Leper Home is too small to allow for the provision of a whole-time physician. The visiting physician has innumerable other duties, so that he can rarely visit more than once a week, nor has he had time or opportunity to acquire the experience necessary in treating so difficult a disease as leprosy.

A suggestion has been made that hopeful cases should be sent for treatment to one of the larger leprosaria; the difficulties in the way of this are: arrangements for transport, amending of laws preventing immigration of lepers, the hardship of distant separation from relatives.

Recommendations.

I recommend that:

(1) All cases be divided into "open" and "closed" categories;

(2) Only those "closed" cases to be sent to the Leper Home who are unable or unwilling to make suitable arrangements at home.

The patient remaining at home should either be visited once a week by a medical officer appointed by the Senior Medical Officer or attend weekly at a place appointed by the Senior Medical Officer. He should remain under inspection and treatment as long as signs of active disease persist. Thereafter he should be inspected at least once in three months for a period of three years.
The "open" case should be sent to the Leper Home unless he remains under the following rules:

(a) Furnish security as under the present Act;
(b) Be visited for inspection and treatment at his home once a week by a medical officer appointed by the Senior Medical Officer;
(c) Live in a house easily accessible to the visiting medical officer, but at an approved distance from the nearest other dwelling. The medical officer will be satisfied that the house is kept clean and hygienic inside and in its surroundings. There will be separate sanitary and bathing arrangements for the patient not used by his attendants or others. The patient's rooms, furniture, linen, eating and drinking utensils will not be used by others. There will be at least one adult attendant of over 30 years of age, who has been approved by the visiting medical officer as suitable. Adults will be allowed to visit the patient, but no children under 15 years of age. The attendants and visitors will be warned by the medical officer of the danger of close contact or of using furniture, utensils, etc., used by the patient.

(4) The "open" patient will not enter any shop or building except his own home. He may take exercise in the open country as approved by the medical officer.

(5) If the medical officer finds that the patient is not co-operating and taking the precautions prescribed, he will report to the Senior Medical Officer.

(6) Previous contacts of the patient, especially children will be put on a list for periodic inspection for leprosy.

LEPROSY SURVEY.

Before this can be satisfactorily undertaken two things seem necessary:

(a) That at least one medical officer should spend about one or more months in Trinidad or British Guiana studying leprosy and the methods of its control;
(b) The setting up of the Health Unit System as proposed by the Medical Adviser to the Comptroller of the West Indies Welfare Fund.

It would then be possible gradually to carry out a leprosy
survey, by placing contacts of lepers, especially children, on a list for periodic examinations, by including the detection of leprosy in examination of schoolchildren.

CONCLUSION.

Leprosy is not one of the most serious problems in the Presidency. There are, however, two reasons why it should receive precedence in an attempt to eradicate it:

1. The horror and mental suffering which it produces;
2. The fact that by taking energetic measures along the right lines it could be eradicated in a comparatively short time.
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LEPROSY CONTROL IN TRINIDAD

E. Muir


Leprosy cannot be regarded as one of the major diseases in Trinidad, that is to say, it is not comparable in morbidity and mortality with such conditions as malaria, tuberculosis, venereal diseases, ankylostomiasis and malnutrition. The number of cases is probably not great; a rough estimate might place them at about a thousand, though it is difficult to form any accurate idea because of the tendency towards concealment. The mortality is not high; but mortality is not a true criterion of the seriousness of leprosy, which is far more dreaded than other fatal diseases.

There are three main reasons for treating leprosy more seriously and giving it more attention than its low morbidity and mortality would seem to warrant: (a) it is regarded with great dread by the public; (b) given the necessary support by